

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1459 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/672,330		09/28/2000	Ken Zhang	S63.2-9176	7670
490	7590 06/36/2004			EXAMINER	
		STEINKRAUS, I	PONTAINE, MONICA A		
6109 BLUE SUITE 2000		DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		55343-9185	1732		

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/672,330	LEE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Monica A Fontaine	1732	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.

 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

S	tat	lu	S

earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).			
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 May 20 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance expenditure of the closed in accordance with the practice under Expenditure.	on is non-final. xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from 5. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or elected.			
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 September 2000 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawin Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ng(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
Attachment(s)	— .		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Report No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/672,330

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the Amendment filed 21 May 2004.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-12 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of copending Application No. 10/087653. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the '653 claims contain the same general process steps as the instant claims.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 1-12 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18, and 20-22 of copending Application No. 10/179646. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they

Art Unit: 1732

are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant application contain the same general process steps as those of the '646 application, although the claims of '646 are more detailed than those of the instant application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica A Fontaine whose telephone number is 571-272-1198. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Colaianni can be reached on 571-272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Maf

June 7, 2004

MICHAEL P. COLAIANNI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER